

UNITED STATL DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington. DC 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	R	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/083,150	05/22/98	BOUSSOUIRA	В	057250306000
Γ		HM12/1213		EXAMINER
FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW			WEBMA	N,E
GARRETT & 1300 I STR	DUNNER EET N W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	DC 20005-33	945	1617	1/
			DATE MAILED:	
				12/13/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/083150	BOUSSOUIRA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
·	WEBMA	161/
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet be	eneath the correspondence address-
Priod fr Reply	3	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start 	reply within the statutory minim It, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely the mailing date of this communication
Status		•
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	130/00	
This action is FINAL.		
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19		
Disposition of Claims		
SClaim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Claim(s) $1-44$ Of the above claim(s) $29-32$, 36 ,	31-44	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(a)		
Utain(s)		is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s) 1-25, 33-35	37	is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s) 1-25, 33-35		is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to.
	·	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s)	·	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	ng Review, PTO-948.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	ng Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	ng Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objective.	ng Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	ng Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the certified.	ng Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents ha	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the C	ng Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents ha	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved.
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFIED copies of the certification. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority or a claim fo	ing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) ■ Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority or a claim fo	ng Review, PTO-948 is □ approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents have ber) ternational Bureau (PCT F	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents have ber) ternational Bureau (PCT F	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Application/Control Number: 09/083,150

Art Unit: 1626

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-23, 27, 28, 33-35, 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolf et al. In view of Fanchon et al.

Wolf et al. teach an anti-acne composition comprising a carrier (

Abstract). Protein and dendritic polymers are specified (column 2 lines 38-42.column 3 lines 39-

- 40). Emulsions are specified (column 4 lines 42-44). Titanium oxide is specified (column 6 line
- 34). Stearic acid and Jojoba oil are disclosed column 3 line 53 and column 6 line 50).

Fanchon et al. teach anti-acne compositions containing antioxidants and nanopigments as active agents (title, Abstract, column 7 lines 7-9, 11, 13, 29-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to add an antioxidant and nanopigments to the composition of Wolf et al. To achieve the beneficial effect of additional anti-acne actives in view of Fanchon et al.

Claims 24-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolf et al. as applied to claim in view of Fanchon et al. above, and further in view of 1-23,27, 28, 33-35, 37. Garrison et al.

Garrison et al. teach the chelator EDTA in anti-acne compositions to sequester discoloration-causing metal ions. (Column 4 lines 3-4)

Art Unit: 1626

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to add a EDTA to the composition of Wolf et al. for the beneficial effect of preventing discoloration in view of Garrison et al.

Applicants argue laundry lit, However, dentritic polymers are not merely recited n a list but discussed in some detail (column 3 lines 39-56). Motivation to combine Garrison, contrary to applicants' assertion, is provided.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1 lines 3,6, what U.S. serial #s?

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

This application contains claim 29-32, 36, 38-44 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 7. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to E. Webman at telephone number (703) -308-4432.

TOWARD . WEBMAN PHIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500